arXiv:gr-qc/0703148v2 28 May 2007

Stability of Closed Timelike Geodesics.

Valéria M. Rosa[*]
Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-000 Viçosa, M.G., Brazil

Patricio S. Letelier[†]
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada-IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081-970 Campinas, S.P., Brazil

The existence and stability under linear perturbations of closed timelike geodesics (CTG) in Bonnor-Ward spacetime is studied in some detail. Regions where the CTGs exist and are linearly stable are exhibited.

In 1949 Gödel found a solution to the Einstein field equation with nonzero cosmological constant that admits closed timelike curves (CTCs) [1]. It could be argued that the Gödel solution is without physical significance, since it corresponds to a rotating, stationary cosmology, whereas the actual universe is expanding and apparently non rotating. The van Stockum solution [2], that also contain CTCs, is physically inadmissible since refers to an infinitely long cylinder.

The existence of CTCs contradicts the usual notion of causality. Beyond the usual paradoxes, it seems to induce physical impossibilities, like the necessity to work with negative energy densities. One could speculate that these impossibilities will be eliminated by quantum-gravitational effects. All our experience seems to indicate that the physical laws do not allow the appearance of CTCs. This is that, essentially, says the Chronology Protection Conjecture (CPC) proposed by Hawking in 1992 [3].

In some cases these CTCs can be disregarded because to have them one ought to have an external force acting along the whole CTC, process that will consume a great amount of energy. The energy needed to travel a CTC in Gödel universe is computed in [4]. For geodesics this is not the case since the external force is null, therefore the considerations of energy does not apply in this case and we potentially have a bigger problem of breakdown of causality.

There exist examples of solutions of vacuum Einstein's equations which contains CTCs that can represent the exterior of physically admissible sources [5] [6]. In [5] it is described the case of a massless spinning rod of finite length. In [6] it is analyzed the CTCs in Kerr-Newman spacetime and in a solution of the Einstein equations for a source named Perjeon, due to Perjés [7], which represents a single charged, rotating, magnetic object. This solution was also studied independently by Israel and Wilson [8], and it is referred as a PIW spacetime. In these three cases one expect that the CTC region be covered by the source. The same does not happen when we work with two Perjeons [6]. This last solution also has closed timelike geodesics (CTGs), one of these CTGs was exhibited in [6].

The possibility that a spacetime associated to a realistic model of matter may contain CTGs leads us to ask how permanent is the existence of these curves. Perhaps, one may rule out the CTGs by simple considerations about their linear stability. Otherwise, if these curves are stable under linear perturbations the conceptual problem associated to their existence is enhanced.

The PIW metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -f^{-1}h_{mn}dx^m dx^n + f(\omega_m dx^m + dt)^2, \tag{1}$$

where the three dimensional positive definite tensor h_{mn} has zero Ricci tensor and it will be taken as the usual three dimensional Euclidean metric in cylindrical coordinates, the electromagnetic field is given in terms of two scalar potentials:

$$F_{4n} = \Phi_{,n},\ F^{ab} = \eta^{abm} f\psi_{,m}, \tag{2}$$

η^{abm} being the Levi-Civita tensor related to h_{mn}, and $(\ )_{,n} = \partial/\partial x^n$. The entire solution is generated by two functions L and M that are harmonic with respect to h_{mn} by means of the equations,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \tfrac{1}{4L^2+4M^2}, \\ \psi &= \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon\tfrac{M}{L^2+M^2}, \\ \Phi &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon\tfrac{L}{L^2+M^2}, \\ \omega_{a,b} - \omega_{b,a} &= 8(ML_{,c} - LM_{,c})\eta_{abm}h^{mc}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The Bonnor-Ward (BW) solution [9] refers to two Perjeons, with masses m_1 and m_2, placed on the z-axis at $z = \pm a$, $(a > 0)$, with magnetic moments (μ_1 and μ_2) also parallel to the z-axis, and

$$L = (1 + m_1/r_1 + m_2/r_2)/2$$

---

[*] e-mail: vmrosa@ufv.br
[†] e-mail: letelier@ime.unicamp.br

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= (\mu_1(z-a)/r_1^3 + \mu_2(z+a)/r_2^3)/2\\
r_1 &= \sqrt{\rho^2+(z-a)^2}\\
r_2 &= \sqrt{\rho^2+(z+a)^2}\\
\omega_a &= -\rho^2\Omega\,\delta_a^\varphi. \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

We shall consider the particular case of BW solution [6],

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega = {} & \frac{\mu_1}{r_1^3}\Big(2+\frac{m_1}{r_1}\Big) + \frac{m_1\mu_2}{\rho^2}\Big(\frac{r_1}{2a^2r_2} + \frac{(z+a)(\rho^2+z^2-a^2)}{ar_1r_2^3}\Big)\\
& + \frac{\mu_2}{r_2^3}\Big(2+\frac{m_2}{r_2}\Big) + \frac{m_2\mu_1}{\rho^2}\Big(\frac{r_2}{2a^2r_1} - \frac{(z-a)(\rho^2+z^2-a^2)}{ar_2r_1^3}\Big)\\
& + \frac{\alpha}{\rho^2}, \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

with α restricted to the values $\alpha = \pm(m_1\mu_2 + m_2\mu_1)/(2a^2)(\neq 0)$ only. When $\alpha = (m_1\mu_2 + m_2\mu_1)/(2a^2)$ the spacetime has a torsion line singularity (TLS) on $\rho = 0$ for $z^2 > a^2$ and in the other case the singularity appears between the sources. In both cases there is no strut singularity [6]. The presence of the TLSs is unavoidable in this case, they keep the two stationary spinning, charged, magnetic sources rotating in equilibrium (for torsions lines see [10][11]). In other words we have a solution with a TSL that extend to infinite and a solution with a compact source. This last solution is asymptotically flat. Even though the first one does not represent a physically acceptable solution we shall consider it for further analysis.

Let us denote by γ a closed curve in BW spacetime given in its parametric form by,

$$t = t_0, \qquad \rho = \text{constant}, \qquad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi], \qquad z = z_0, \qquad (6)$$

where t_0, ρ and z_0 are constants. The closed curve is timelike when $g_{\varphi\varphi} > 0$, i.e.,

$$\Omega^2 f^2 \rho^2 - 1 > 0. \qquad (7)$$

The four-acceleration of γ is

$$\begin{aligned}
a^t &= 0, \qquad (8)\\
a^\rho &= \frac{\partial_\rho f\Omega^2\rho^3 f^2 + 2f^3\Omega\rho^3\partial_\rho\Omega + 4f^3\Omega^2\rho^2 - 2f + \rho\partial_\rho f}{2\rho(f^2\Omega^2\rho^2-1)}\dot{\varphi}^2, \qquad (9)\\
a^\varphi &= 0, \qquad (10)\\
a^z &= \frac{\partial_z f\Omega^2\rho^2 f^2 + 2f^3\Omega\rho^2\partial_z\Omega + \partial_z f}{2(f^2\Omega^2\rho^2-1)}\dot{\varphi}^2. \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

We shall restrict us to the special case,

$$m_1 = m_2 = m,\ \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu. \qquad (12)$$

Furthermore, we take $z_0 = 0$ to have $a^z = 0$. The condition $a^\rho = 0$ gives us to different cases, depending on the position of the TLS.

First we analise the case $\alpha = -(m_1\mu_2 + m_2\mu_1)/(2a^2)$ (TSL between the particles). By assuming the restriction (12) and doing $a^\rho = 0$ we obtain the following relation for μ, a, ρ and m,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu = {} & -1/4\Big(-2\Big(4\rho^4m^3 + 8\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^4 + 2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^6 - 16a^2\rho^2m^3\\
& -19a^4m\rho^2 + 6\rho^6m - 2a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^4 + 7a^4m^3 - 15a^2m\rho^4 + 2a^6m\\
& -32a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^2 + 8a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2 - 4a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^2)(a^6\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\\
& +10a^6m + 3a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^2 + 28a^4m\rho^2 + 80a^4m^3 + 40a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\\
& +80a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^4 + 64a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^2 + 3a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^4 + 32a^2m^5
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+112a^2\rho^2m^3 + \sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^6 + 24\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^4 + 8\rho^6 m + 32\rho^4m^3 \\
&+26a^2m\rho^4 + 16\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^4\rho^2\Big)\Big)^{1/2}(\rho^2+a^2)/\Big(4\rho^4m^3 + 8\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^4 \\
&+2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^6 - 16a^2\rho^2m^3 - 19a^4m\rho^2 + 6\rho^6m - 2a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^4 \\
&+7a^4m^3 - 15a^2m\rho^4 - 32a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^2 + 2a^6m + 8a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2 \\
&-4a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^2\Big). \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Using this form for μ and doing $\rho = n\,a$ we obtain for $g_{\varphi\varphi}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\varphi\varphi} = &-\Big[2n^2(n^2+1)(2n^2-1)a^2 + m\sqrt{n^2+1}(6n^4-11n^2-1)a \\
&+2m^2(2n^4-5n^2-1)\Big]/\Big[2n^2\Big((n^2+1)(n^2-2)a^2 + 2m\sqrt{n^2+1}(n^2-4)a \\
&+m^2(2n^2-7)\Big)\Big]. \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

We can find n, the distance a, and the mass m such that $g_{\varphi\varphi} > 0$. We have closed timelike geodesics for $n \in [0.708, \sqrt{2}]$ and $a > A\,m$, for $n \in (\sqrt{2}, 1.6385]$ and $a \in [A\,m, B\,m]$, and for $n \in (1.6385, 1.8872]$ and $a \in [0, B\,m]$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{\sqrt{1+6n^2+61n^4+60n^6-28n^8} - (6n^4-11n^2-1)}{4\sqrt{n^2+1}(2n^2-1)}, \\
B &= \frac{4-n^2+\sqrt{2+3n^2-n^4}}{\sqrt{n^2+1}(n^2-2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the particular case $a = \rho$, the condition (7) is written as $(2\alpha - 2\sqrt{2}m)/(2\alpha + 5\sqrt{2}m) > 0$ and if $a > \sqrt{2}m$ the closed geodesic is timelike. In [6] Bonnor and Steadman describe a CTG for $a = \rho = 1/\sqrt{2}$, in this case the closed curve γ is a geodesic when (13) reduces to $\mu = (2m+1)^2/(\sqrt{10m^2+22m+4})$. The condition (7) is written as $(1-4m)/(1+5m) > 0$, so γ is timelike when $m < 1/4$.

Now we analise the case $\alpha = (m_1\mu_2 + m_2\mu_1)/(2a^2)$ (two semi-infinity TLS). Assuming that (12) is true and making $a^\rho = 0$ we obtain the following relation for μ, a, ρ and m,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu = &\Big(\Big(4a^8\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2} + 2a^6\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^2 - 2a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^4 + \rho^6m^3 \\
&+48a^6m^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2} + 24a^8m - a^2\rho^6m - 7a^4\rho^4m + 18a^6\rho^2m + 32a^6m^3 \\
&+4a^2\rho^4m^3\Big)\Big(10a^6m + a^6\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2} + 3a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^2 + 80a^4m^3 + 28a^4m\rho^2 \\
&+40a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2 + 3a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^4 + 32a^2m^5 + 26a^2m\rho^4 + 112a^2\rho^2m^3 \\
&+64a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^2 + 80a^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^4 + 24\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^2\rho^4 + 8\rho^6m \\
&+8a^4\rho^2m^3 + \sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^6 + 16\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}m^4\rho^2 + 32\rho^4m^3\Big)\Big)^{1/2}(\rho^2+a^2)a^2/ \\
&\Big(4\sqrt{2}(4a^8\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2} + 2a^6\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^2 - 2a^4\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2}\rho^4 + \rho^6m^3 \\
&+48a^6m^2\sqrt{\rho^2+a^2} + 24a^8m - a^2\rho^6m - 7a^4\rho^4m + 18a^6\rho^2m \\
&+32a^6m^3\rho^2m^3 + 8a^4 + 4a^2\rho^4m^3)\rho\Big) \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Using this form for μ and $\rho = n\,a$, as before, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\varphi\varphi} = &\Big[-2m^2(n^4-n^2+4) + m^2\sqrt{n^2+1}(n^4+9n^2-8)a + 2(2n^4+n^2-1)a^2\Big], \\
&/\Big[2\Big(m^2(n^4+8) - 2m\sqrt{n^2+1}(n^2-4)a - (n^2+1)(n^2-1)a^2\Big)\Big]. \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Also in this case, we can find n, a distance a, and a mass m such that $g_{\varphi\varphi} > 0$. In particular, we find a and m such that $g_{\varphi\varphi} > 0$ for $n > \sqrt{2}$ and $C\,m < a < D\,m$, where

$$C = \frac{n^2\sqrt{n^4+50n^2+17} - n^2 - 9n + 8}{2\sqrt{n^2+1}(2n^2-1)},$$
$$D = \frac{n^2 - 4 - n^2\sqrt{n^2-1}}{\sqrt{n^2+1}(2-n^2)}.$$

For example, we have CTGs for $n = 1.5$ and $0.3482\,m < a < 9.4644\,m$, for $n = 2$ and $0.2725\,m < a < 1.5491\,m$, for $n = 5$ and $0.2456\,m < a < 0.8652\,m$, and for $n = 10$ and $0.1704\,m < a < 0.9127\,m$.

A generic CTC γ satisfies the system of equations given by

$$\frac{D}{ds}\dot{X}^\mu = F^\mu(X), \tag{17}$$

where $\frac{D\,W^\alpha}{ds}$ is the covariant derivative of the vector field W^α along $\gamma(s)$ and F^μ is an external force per unit of mass.

Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the curve obtained from γ after a perturbation ξ, i.e., $\tilde{X}^\mu = X^\mu + \xi^\mu$. The system of differential equation satisfied by the perturbation ξ is [12] [13],

$$\frac{d^2\xi^\alpha}{ds} + 2\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\mu}\delta u^\beta u^\mu + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\mu,\lambda}\xi^\lambda u^\beta u^\mu = F^\alpha_{,\lambda}\xi^\lambda. \tag{18}$$

For the closed curve (6) the system (18), for case where (12) holds, $z = z_0 = 0$, and μ, a, ρ, and m are related in such a way that $a^\rho = 0$ ($F^\alpha = 0$), reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{\xi}^0 + k_1\dot{\xi}^1 = 0,\\
&\ddot{\xi}^1 + k_2\dot{\xi}^0 + k_3\xi^1 = 0,\\
&\ddot{\xi}^2 + k_4\dot{\xi}^1 = 0,\\
&\ddot{\xi}^3 + k_5\xi^3 = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $k_1 = 2\Gamma^0_{21}\dot{\varphi}$, $k_2 = 2\Gamma^1_{20}\dot{\varphi}$, $k_3 = \Gamma^1_{22,1}\dot{\varphi}^2$, $k_4 = 2\Gamma^2_{21}\dot{\varphi}$, $k_5 = \Gamma^3_{22,3}\dot{\varphi}^2$. The condition for γ to be timelike, when it is parametrized by the proper time s, is $\dot{X}^\mu\dot{X}_\mu = 1$, where the overdot indicates derivation with respect to s. For the curve γ this last condition gives us

$$\dot{\varphi}^2 = \frac{f}{\rho^2(f^2\rho^2w^2 - 1)}. \tag{20}$$

The solution of (19) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^0 &= -k_1(c_3\sin(\varpi s + c_4)/\varpi + \lambda s) + c_1\,s + c_5,\\
\xi^1 &= c_3\cos(\varpi s + c_4) + \lambda,\\
\xi^2 &= -k_4(c_3\sin(\varpi s + c_4)/\varpi + \lambda s) + c_2\,s + c_6,\\
\xi^3 &= c_7\cos(\sqrt{k_5}s + c_8),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $c_i,\ i = 1,\ldots,8$ are integration constants, $\varpi = \sqrt{k_3 - k_1k_2}$, and $\lambda = -k_2c_1/\varpi^2$. The explicit form of ϖ and k_5 depending on the distance a, the mass m and the parameter n are cumbersome; they will be presented elsewhere.

To describe the regions where the CTGs are linearly stable, first, we fix n ($\rho = n\,a$) and then we find a and m such that $\varpi^2 > 0$ and $k_5 > 0$. When the TLS is between the sources, the closed curve γ, satisfying (12), $z = z_0 = 0$, and (13) is a CTG linearly stable when $n \in [1.5, 1.8]$. For example, we have $\varpi^2 > 0$ and $k_5 > 0$ for $n = 1.5$ and $7.4788\,m < a < 7.988\,m$, and for $n = 1.8$ and $0.1046\,m < a < 0.5279\,m$.

For the case $a = \rho = 1/\sqrt{2}$ given by Bonnor and Steadman [6], we have

$$\frac{\varpi^2}{k_5} = -2\frac{(84m^4 + 235/2m^2 + 7/2 + 35m + 183m^3)(m+2)}{(1+2m)^2(116m + 33/2 + 53m^3 + 170m^2)} \tag{22}$$

that is always negative. In this case the CTG is not linearly stable. This is a particular case of $a = \rho$, where

$$\frac{\varpi^2}{k_5} = \frac{(14\alpha^4 + 70\sqrt{2}m\alpha^3 + 235m^2\alpha^2 + 183\sqrt{2}m^3\alpha + 84m^4)(4\alpha + m\sqrt{2})}{-(\alpha + m\sqrt{2})^2(66\alpha^3 + 232\sqrt{2}m\alpha^2 + 340m^2\alpha + 53m^3\sqrt{2})} \tag{23}$$

When we have two semi-infinite torsion TLS the closed curve γ, satisfing (12), $z = z_0 = 0$, and (15), is a CTG linearly stable when $n \geq 1.5$. For example, we have $\varpi^2 > 0$ and $k_5 > 0$ for $n = 1.5$ and $8.7913\,m < a < 9.3079\,m$, for $n = 2$ and $0.4353\,m < a < 1.2147\,m$, for $n = 5$ and $0.2904\,m < a < 0.4576\,m$, and for $n = 10$ and $0.2743\,m < a < 0.5014\,m$.

In summary, we found that there are linearly stable closed timelike geodesics in BW spacetime. There are two cases depending whether the torsion line singularity is between the sources or not. We note that the existence of CTGs or their linear stability does not depend on the value of the mass parameter m.

It is interesting to see that in one case the CTGs does not enclosed the TLS. One can think that the TLS is a mathematical representation of a physical device to keep the particles rotating in such a way that allow the existence of CTGs. In particular, the infinite TLSs may be replaced by some physical structure with motors. The amount of energy to keep this configurations stable is not known and its computation is under study. In this case the very notion of energy is not clear.

The analysis performed in this letter is the simplest and should be considered as a first step to understand the CTGs stability. To regard this example as a counter example of the CPC from a pure classical approach one need to consider second order perturbations. Moreover one would like to have a kind of structural stability of the CTGs, e.g., that the change of the spacetime by the addition of a small amount of matter does not destroy the CTGs. But, if they are second order and structural stable we will have an evident challenge to the General Relativity Theory or our usual notion of causality will need a deep revision.

V.M.R. thanks Departamento de Matemática-UFV for giving the conditions to finish this work which was partially supported by PICDT-UFV/CAPES. PSL thanks the partial financial support of FAPESP and CNPq.

[1] K. Gödel, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **21**, 447 (1949)
[2] W.J. van Stockum, *Proc. R. Soc. Edin.*, **A21**, 135(1937)
[3] S.W.Hawking, *Phys.Rev.D*, **46**, 603(1992)
[4] J.Pfarr, *Gen.Rel.Grav.*, **13**, 1073(1981)
[5] W.B. Bonnor, *Class. Quantum. Grav.*, **19**, 5951 (2002)
[6] W.B. Bonnor and B.R. Steadman, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **37**, 1833 (2005)
[7] Z. Perjés, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **27**, 1668 (1971)
[8] W. Israel and G.A. Wilson, *J. Math. Phys.*, **13**, 323 (1972)
[9] W.B. Bonnor and J.P. Ward, *Commun. Math. Phys*, **28**, 323 (1972)
[10] P.S. Letelier, *Class. Quantum. Grav.*, **12**, 471 (1995)
[11] P.S. Letelier and S.R. Oliveira, *Phys. Lett. A*, **238**, 101 (1998)
[12] M.F. Shirokov, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **2**, 131 (1971)
[13] V.M. Rosa and P.S. Letelier, Stability of Closed Timelike Curves in Gödel Universe, Gen. Rel. Grav, in press. gr-qc/0703100.